		Page 1
1	IN THE SUPERIOR	R COURT OF CALIFORNIA
1	IN AND FOR THE	COUNTY OF MONTEREY
2	DEPARTMENT 14	HON. ROBERT O'FARRELL, JUDGE
3		
4		
5		
6	EUGENE FORTE,)
) No. M 5 4 9 1 4
7	Plaintiff,)
-) December 19, 2003
8	V.) Monterey, California
) 11:10 a.m.
9	MICHAEL ALBOV, et al.,)
) HEARING RE CONTEMPT
10	Defendants.)
)
11		
12		
13	REPORTER'S TRAN	SCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	Reported by:	Linda S. Kinkade, RMR, CRR
		CSR License No. 2612
19		Post Office Box 222579
		Carmel, CA 93922
20		Office: (831) 625-6500
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		Constitution of the Consti
27		de des
28		我们可以在一个人的。 1980年11月1日
l		22

Forte v. Albov

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right. The matter of Eugene Forte, who is in custody and sitting in court right now. I did find and I do find or affirm the finding of contempt based on your conduct in court this morning. I'll recite for the record what happened, although the transcript of those proceedings will speak for themselves, I believe.

The case in which you're involved was called for hearing on the motion that was -- it was the motion of the other party to the case. You asked to be heard first. I granted that request, and you asked a question, which I gave an answer to. And then you insisted on asking more questions, and I told you that's not the proper time, you will have a chance to reply after the attorney on the moving side was completely heard.

You wouldn't accept that and you kept talking, raising your voice, and being, frankly, bully-ish. And when I warned you a number of times to please sit down, stop talking -- and let the record reflect right now that you are smirking in a very arrogant fashion -- that when you failed to do that, I asked you to take a seat in the jury box and we would deal with the matter afterwards.

You refused to do that and continued to force yourself upon the Court verbally. And at that time I had no other option, to ensure that the proceedings of the court were conducted properly, other than to remand you into custody, which I did.

Then when you went into custody, you gave the bailiff an extremely difficult time. You wrestled. You screamed. You

disrupted the entire courthouse or at least certainly the second floor of the courthouse. Other bailiffs had to be summoned to subdue you. You delayed the proceedings in this court.

The Court, after that -- the courtroom itself was highly disrupted. The Court had to take a recess. The proceedings in this department were delayed. Other departments in this building were awaiting the court reporter in this department, and we anticipated we would have finished in a timely fashion so they could start their calendars appropriately. They were subsequently delayed because of your action.

This isn't the first time you've been in court. You've been in court many, many times. You have filed many, many papers, briefs, and pleadings, and so on. The general tenure of every one of them that I can recall has been very arrogant, and you have approached your sessions in court much, as I said earlier, quite a bit like a bully.

Certainly in the past I have warned you about contempt. I never found you in contempt. I've warned you. You've been aggressive and disruptive, but, ultimately, in the past, you have waited your turn. Today you obviously refused to do that, and you came in here loaded for bear, so to speak. That was pretty evident.

I find that you were rude, disruptive, and did delay the proceedings of the court, and I do reaffirm the finding of contempt for those reasons.

Is there anything you'd like to say, sir?

MR. FORTE: Yes, Your Honor.

2.4

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. FORTE: I disagree with your observations, number one. Number two, when I came into this courtroom today, I stated very clearly to the Court that prior to the commencement of the motion I need to go ahead and get an indication for the record exactly how this case had gotten transferred to you. And the reason for that being is that I checked on Monday of this week and discovered the case first had been assigned to Judge Michael Fields. I then contacted the court again, the clerk, on, I believe it was Wednesday or Thursday of this week, and I was informed that it had not been assigned to Judge Michael Fields any longer, but it had actually been assigned to you.

I then spoke to Erika, who is Judge Fields' clerk, who informed me that she could not tell me how it became assigned to you, one, and, number two, she could not tell me why Judge Michael Fields was not going to hear the matter.

Therefore, between then and now, what I did when I came into the courtroom today, I intended to ask you, as I did, for how did it get transferred to you, according to my right to understand the due process here.

Number two is, is that when you had responded, you then started to speak over me when I was then going to state, Your Honor, that what I had was a peremptory challenge, which I was going to file, concerning the motion and concerning you, Your Honor. However, you continued to interrupt me. I was trying to go ahead and speak. And at that point in time -- I think, Your Honor, we certainly know that there is a number of things

that are evident in the motions over these years that I've been

- trying to bring out very distinctly and correctly my belief
- 3 that there is a corrupt judicial system here in Monterey.
- 4 Judge Richard Silver knows about it, that you know about it.
- 5 You, in fact, Your Honor, have ignored requests directly made

6 by me in writing as presiding judge to go ahead and conduct an

7 investigation of the matter regarding Mr. McCarthy.

I meant no disrespect to the Court, except when the Court was ignoring me and not allowing me my right, according to what you are required to, according to the judicial Canon of Ethics, to give every person their full right to speak inside the courtroom. When you were not allowing that to happen, Your Honor, and you were then telling Mr. McCarthy to stand up and proceed to start the procedure, I knew at that time that I needed to make sure that that peremptory challenge was done at that time and on record, but you were not allowing me to do so.

You know that I have not been either a violent person. I've been respectful of the Court, Your Honor. And another matter is that, as I was removed from this courtroom, I was then put in a holding cell. I was then brought back out here at approximately 10:00. I sat inside of this jury booth with handcuffs on. A proceeding took place of about 30 minutes to -- 25 to 30 minutes with Mr. Stamp arguing a case. And then what took place, you then announced that Mr. Forte is now present inside the courtroom, which in fact I had already been sitting inside the box for 25 minutes.

You then said that you were going to be taking a break.

The court reporter said she didn't need a break. Well, yeah, she said, I believe, you were going to be taking a break and to take up the matter of Mr. Forte. What happened is a break didn't take place. You then took up a second matter while I sat here with handcuffs on inside the jury booth. And then what happened was, after that hearing, you got up from your position, left the room without announcing there is an end to the proceeding. You leave. The court reporter leaves. And I then sit here again with handcuffs on, uncomfortable and unpleasant, Your Honor. Because I have not been arrested. I have not had handcuffs on. I've never been held in a contempt of court. But apparently, Your Honor, you felt this was going to be something that you just wanted to drive home a point.

So, Your Honor, it is now 11:15. I disagree with many of the assertions you said. I went ahead and want to simply file and tell Your Honor that I was filing a peremptory challenge, but, Your Honor, you didn't want that peremptory challenge to take place. Because the bottom line fact is that Mr. Dennis McCarthy hid a document, suborned to perjury of Ms. Stephanie Crabb. And the position of the deposition taken of her is an important one. And I, Your Honor, was not going to give you an opportunity, after several times in the past that I gave you the opportunity, believing, believing and hoping, you were going to be a fair and impartial judge, which you were not, in the cases of A, Loop v. Forte.

In Loop v. Forte, you denied me a consolidation of the case to join that case with Forte v. Loop. Judge Silver overturned that ruling consolidating the cases.

In the case of Forte v. Lichtenegger, you heard a motion to withdraw by Mr. Lichtenegger made within about 35 days of the case where I told you that Mr. Lichtenegger's declarations were faulty and fraudulent because I had tape recordings that stated that Mr. Lichtenegger said the reasons why he had to withdraw was because Judge Richard Silver was manipulating the trial dates and sabotaging motions in order to punish me. He also said he had to throw me to the lions to save himself to the local judicial community. And what you did was you granted Mr. Lichtenegger that motion to withdraw. But you told me that I should apply for a motion to go ahead and continue the trial that was set. I came back the following week, and we did have the motion to continue.

And Mr. James Cook from the Horan law firm in that case pled before you that Mr. Forte was a wealthy businessman that drove Rolls Royces and Jaguars and I was only trying to punish his clients. And in his declaration he had stated the reasons as to why the trial should not be continued was because his clients were in a financial hardship.

The Powells, who, by the way, at the start of that hearing, you looked at the Powells and you said, I do recall the Powells' and our children did go to school together, but I don't believe that would affect my ruling. And you asked me if I had a problem with that, Your Honor. And with due respect to you, I said I did not, because I did not know at those times that, by the end of that hearing, when Mr. -- when I tried to address the issue of saying, Your Honor, Mr. Cook's declarations state that there is a lis pendens that has

prohibited his clients from gaining any financing of their property, in fact I had voluntarily lifted that lis pendens --

THE COURT: Okay. I've got to interrupt you because I've let you go on quite a bit now and you're basically relitigating all of your matters, the same material you file over and over again. And, that's fine, you can keep doing

that, but it is not relevant to the issue of contempt now.

Is there anything you want to say relative to this issue?

MR. FORTE: Yes, Your Honor. I believe it is relevant to this issue. Because this is going to state the basis as to why you were so anxious to go ahead and try to hold me in contempt of court. So I do want to complete my summary of what I would have gone ahead and done at one time but was preempted from doing so.

So, in that case of --

THE COURT: This is what you wanted to say when I interrupted you? Is that what you're telling me?

MR. FORTE: No, Your Honor. It was going to be much shorter than that. All I wanted to say was, Your Honor, this peremptory challenge has been filed. Thank you very much. That is what I was attempting to go ahead and say.

But since I was given the opportunity to summarize the events that led to us now being handcuffed in front of my eight-year-old child and my five-year-old child, who I love dearly and respect very much -- and you, Your Honor, yourself has seen me at the Corral de Tierra market. One time you were getting ice cream. I referred to you as "Your Honor." I smiled at you, cordial. I have nothing personally against Your

Honor. When you sit here inside this courtroom, I got to tell you that I need to make sure that the record is clear, and you were not allowing me to make that record clear.

Going back to Forte v. Lichtenegger, you then in the -excuse me -- in the Forte v. Powell, the motion to continue,
you then gave me a choice to lift the lis pendens and you would
give me a 30-day continuance of that trial. I said no. At the
end of that hearing, also, I said, Your Honor, why did you
withhold the signing of subpoenas for over seven days? Your
response to that was, because I thought you may be harassing
people. Your Honor, if I -- you had no knowledge or any
information or belief to go ahead and have that type of an
assertion of the belief of my harassing anyone.

But we go on now to the next case that you were involved with. This is the case --

THE COURT: No, we're not going to do that, sir. I really did give you --

MR. FORTE: All right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- pretty much carte blanche. But we're not going to do that.

MR. FORTE: Well, Your Honor -- well, okay. I don't mean to speak over you. And I will tell you this, sir. If you would have simply allowed me to go ahead and speak, I would have been able to tell you and here is my peremptory challenge. But you spoke over me and you demanded me to sit down to allow Mr. McCarthy to commence the hearing of the motion. And I know that I had to have that done prior to that taking place. And this is what this has all been caused about,

1 Your Honor.

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. You obviously came in 3 here prepared to file a 170.6 challenge. You could have done Had you done that -- and I did invite you to ask a 4 5 question. You could have said, Judge, I have a 170.6 challenge 6 against you. It would have been accepted. The matter would have been transferred. Clearly, that's not the case. You came 8 in here to grandstand. As I say, you were loaded for bear. 9 You mentioned your children being present, which I think is a 10 tragedy. And I noticed you before court and you were not 11 sitting with your children. You were sitting apparently apart from them. So I don't want to presume this, but I would hope 12 13 you wouldn't bring your children here as someone you could 14 point to to show how you're being mistreated and gain 15 sympathy. I just don't know about that. I wouldn't want to go 16 that far. But I think it's awful when you come to court 17 knowing what you were about to do and knowing the kind of performance you're more than likely going to put on and you 19 have your children in the courtroom somewhere else sitting. have some real concerns about why a person would ever let that possible situation develop.

You're right. You did come back into the courtroom here. And I was, in fact, finishing the calendar that had been delayed because of your antics. I invited you to say something on your behalf. There is not a thing that you have said that goes to mitigation, shows any apologetic attitude, or anything of that nature. As a matter of fact, you're arrogant, you're very defensive, and you're, in fact, offensive.

1 MR. FORTE: And, Your Honor --

2 THE COURT: I believe -- don't interrupt me now, sir.

You had your chance. Quite frankly, I believe I'm dealing with

a certain pathology here, and I don't mean that in any

5 pejorative way. But if you're not aware of some potential

6 issues there yourself, perhaps you should look into them. And,

7 if anything, I suspect -- I'm going to maybe meld that

8 possibility into the sentence. Because perhaps that is a

9 mitigating factor in and of itself. But your conduct, not only

today, and as it has led up to today, is really not terribly

11 rational. In fact, that's being generous.

I'm going to sentence you for your contempt of court to eight hours in custody. And, as I say, that's considerably mitigated over what I might have normally done.

So he can be held until 5:00.

MR. FORTE: Excuse me, Your Honor. I need to respond to the comments that you made regarding the reasons why my children were here and degrading my position as a father.

My children -- my eight-year-old and my five-year-old -here were not here for any type of a reason to see me
grandstand before the Court. The fact of the matter is we do
not have a babysitter. And my wife came and she sat in the
back of the courtroom with the two children to make sure, if
they made any slightest noises at all, it would not be
disruptive of the court.

26 THE COURT: They could have been outside, sir. That's

27 all.

3

4

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FORTE: Your Honor, it's not over. Thank you,

```
Page 12
  1
        sir.
  2
             (Proceedings concluded at 11:26 a.m.)
  3
        11
  4
  5
  6
                           CERTIFICATE
  7
             I, Linda S. Kinkade, Official Pro Tem. Court Reporter for
 8
        the State of California, County of Monterey, do hereby certify:
             That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
 9
       proceedings had in the above-entitled action; that I reported
        same in stenotype, being the duly appointed, qualified and
10
       acting official pro tem. court reporter, to the best of my
       ability; and thereafter transcribed same into typewriting
11
       through Computer-Aided Transcription.
12
       Date: December , 2003
13
                                             Linda S. Kinkade, RMR, CRR
                                             CSR License No. 2612
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

Forte v. Albov

		1:	
	ı	sir.	
	2	(Proceedings concluded at 11:26 a.m.)	
	3	//	
	1		
	5		
	G	CERTIPICATE	
	7		
		I, Linda S. Kinkade, Official Pro Tem. Court Reporter for	
	8	the State of California, County of Monterey, do hereby certify:	
		That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the	
	9	proceedings had in the above-entitled action; that I reported	
		same in stenotype, being the duly appointed, qualified and	
	10	acting official pro tem. court reporter, to the best of my	
		ability; and thereafter transcribed same into typewriting	
נ	Ll	through Computer-Aided Transcription.	
] 3	12	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}}}}}}$	
		Date: December 28, 2003 Signed Hinkade	
1	13	Linda S. Kinkade, RMR, CRR	
		CSR License No. 2612	
1	.4		
1	.5		
1	6		
1	7		
1	8		
1	9		
2	0		
2:	1		
2:	2		
2;	3		
24	1		
25	5		
26	5		
27	7		
2.8	3		
		The state of the s	